

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/030,061 02/25/1998		02/25/1998	MATTHEW TODD GILLSPIE	GILLISPIE-I	6893
1444	7590	01/06/2004		EXAMINER	
		NEIMARK, P.L.L.C	JIANG, DONG		
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303				1646	
				DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	5					
	09/030,061	GILLSPIE ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit						
	Dong Jiang	1646						
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover she t with the c	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communical ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 O</u>	ctober 2003.	•						
	action is non-final.							
Disposition of Claims	,							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-33,35 and 36</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>29-33, 35 and 36</u> is/are rejected.								
<u> </u>	<u>-</u>							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign		a) (d) a = (f)						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(st sentence of the specification o	e) (to a provisional applic r in an Application Data S						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific								
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachment(s)	•							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	. •					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)						

DETAILED OFFICE ACTION

Applicant's amendment filed on 07 October 2003 is acknowledged and entered. Following the amendment, claim 34 is canceled, and claim 33 is amended.

Currently, claims 29-33, 35 and 36 are pending and under consideration.

Withdrawal of Objections and Rejections:

All objections and rejections of claim 34 are moot as the applicant has canceled the claim.

The objection of claim 35 is withdrawn in view of applicant's argument.

The rejection of claim 33 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment.

Rejections Over Prior Art:

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 29-33, 35 and 36 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ushio et al., EP 0 712 931 A2, or Okamura et al., US 5,912,324 and further in view of Mark

Art Unit: 1646

et al., US 4,588,585, for the reasons of record set forth in the previous Office Actions, paper Nos. 15, 17 and 22.

Page 3

Applicants argument filed on 07 October 2003 has been fully considered, but is not deemed persuasive for reasons below.

At pages 6-8 of the response, the applicant argues that the Examiner's statement that it is well known in the art that cysteine residues in many protein molecules can be substituted or deleted without affecting biological activities of the proteins is not correct because cysteines may constitute an active center of a protein even if the cysteines are not involved in a disulfide bond. Applicant further argues that it is necessary to know when deciding whether cysteines give more stability to a protein: A. the position of cysteines in a protein; B. the involvement in disulfide bond; and C. whether constituting an active center if not involved in a disulfide bond. Furthermore, Applicant argues that the prior art references by Ushio and Okamura only provide information about A, but nothing about B and C in connection with IL-18, and the prior art reference by Mark provides information about A to C, but it is in connection with IL-2 and IFN-b, and therefore, information about B and C with regard to IL-18 was not available, thus, it would not have been obvious how to successfully stabilize IL-18 by replacing specific cysteines. This argument is not persuasive for the following reasons. First, the Examiner has never indicated that only cysteines that are involved in a disulfide bond are important and should not be deleted or replaced. As addressed in the previous Office Action, the knowledge in replacing certain cysteine residues while retaining biological activity of a protein, and its advantage in avoiding undesirable intermolecular or intramolecular structures are well known in the art. Therefore, the state of the prior art at the time the present invention was filed was high with the respect of cysteine substitutions/deletions, thus replacing/deleting certain cysteine residues of a protein, and testing for its functional activity to determine the importance of particular cysteine residues was is well within the skilled artisan's purview. Given the fact that Ushio and Okamura disclosed the sequence and the biological activity of the IL-18, the structural and functional relationship of the molecule can be determined by routine experimentation. Substituting/deleting cysteines of IL-18 and testing for its functional activity are considered routine, and obvious to a to person of ordinary skill in the art. The person of Application/Control Number: 09/030,061

Art Unit: 1646

ordinary skill in the art reasonably would have expected success based on the structural and functional relationship of the IL-18 determined using the prior art disclosure. As the claims are not limited to particular location in the protein, they do not distinguish over the prior art.

Conclusion:

No claim is allowed.

Page 4

Advisory Information:

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Dong Jiang whose telephone number is 703-305-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dong Jiang, Ph.D. Patent Examiner AU1646 12/19/03